


THE POWER AND ACCOUNTABILITY INSTITUTE, MEDIA AWARENESS CAMPAIGN

DEMISTIFYING THE EPSTEIN FILES



AUTHORED BY:
**LAUREN KORT, ELLE DWYER, CHARLOTTE
BLOCK, DAMARIS BEAS, LUNA SANTIAGO**



TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROJECT RATIONALE

3

FACT SHEET: EPSTEIN FILES BY THE #S

4

EXPLAINER: JEFFREY EPSTEIN, EXPLAINED: FIVE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE MAN BEHIND THE FILES

5-12

INFOGRAPHIC: CRIME-LINE

13

EXPLAINER: WHAT ARE THE FILES?

14-19

EXPLAINER: MILLIONS OF PAGES LATER: WHERE THE EPSTEIN INVESTIGATION CURRENTLY STANDS

20-27

OPEN LETTER TO PAM BONDI

28-31

PROFILE: EPSTEIN'S NETWORK

32-42

CASE STUDY: EPSTEIN'S ABUSE VICTIMS

43-54

INFOGRAPHIC: SEXUAL ABUSE RESOURCES

55-56

INFOGRAPHIC: CALL TO ACTION

57

MEDIA AWARENESS CAMPAIGN:

RATIONALE

WHAT'S THE ISSUE?

As the Epstein files continue to be released, the public is inundated with overwhelming and confusing information. It is difficult to understand who exactly was implicated in Epstein's scheme and to what extent. By publicizing and explaining the content of the files, we hope to give the wider public a better understanding of the issue so that they can make informed decisions about who to support and what they can do from here.

WHAT'S THE PURPOSE?

The goal of this project is to demystify the Epstein files and provide the public with succinct, easy-to-digest information regarding the countless files, crimes, and powerful people involved with disgraced financier Jeffrey Epstein, and the lasting effects of these crimes today. The project aims to give people information and resources to formulate their own opinions rather than relying on the convoluted information presented in the media today.

FACT SHEET: EPSTEIN FILES BY THE #S

BY CHARLOTTE BLOCK

THE FILES BY THE NUMBERS WHAT WE KNOW SO FAR...



3.5 million FILES HAVE BEEN RELEASED

300 "POLITICALLY EXPOSED PERSONS" MENTIONED

DHS released a 6-page long document listing names of "politically exposed" individuals, regardless of the context in which they appear



180,000

IMAGES

2,000

VIDEOS

38 VICTIM TESTAMENTS

But estimates of the number of women abused are much higher.



All of this information ...




and only

2

convictions

WHO WAS JEFFREY EPSTEIN?

BY ELLE DWYER



5 QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE MAN BEHIND THE FILES

Recent releases of documents connected to Jeffrey Epstein have reignited public interest in the disgraced financier and the powerful social circles that surrounded him. Online discussions about the case often mix verified facts with speculation, making it difficult to understand what actually happened. Before interpreting the documents themselves, however, it is important to understand the central figure in the case. Who was Jeffrey Epstein? How did he gain influence? And, how was his network able to operate for so long?

QUESTION 1: WHO WAS JEFFREY EPSTEIN?

Jeffrey Epstein was born in 1953 in Brooklyn, New York, and grew up in a working-class neighborhood in Coney Island. His mother was a school aide and homemaker, and his father worked for the New York City Parks Department. Epstein's early life was a far cry from the immense wealth and influence he would later claim to possess. After graduating from his local high school, Epstein attended Cooper Union and then, later, New York University (NYU), where he studied mathematics and physics, but he never completed his college education and does not have any degrees.

Although he lacks formal education credentials, he was hired in the 1970s at Dalton School, a prestigious private school in Manhattan, as a mathematics teacher. At the time, Dalton School served many students from wealthy and influential families. Overall, he was well-received by the students, but some noted his tendency to hang around circles of female students socially, with one student recalling, "There was a mild sense of creepiness." Despite this, he continued to work at Dalton for 2 years. Although his time there was brief, he was placed in proximity to elite social circles that would later shape the trajectory of his career.

While Epstein eventually cultivated the image of an elite financier, his path there was unusual and remains somewhat opaque altogether. The forces that catapulted him from a working-class Coney Island native to one of the most influential figures among the global wealthy are unclear, which has been noted by many observers, especially since it occurred rapidly without the typical educational or professional background correlated with the finance industry.

QUESTION 2: HOW DID EPSTEIN BECOME WEALTHY AND INFLUENTIAL?

After leaving his teaching career at Dalton, Epstein entered the world of finance in the late 1970s. He was first hired by the investment banking firm Bear Stearns, which was once one of the largest in the country, but it collapsed in 2008.

Despite his unconventional background, he eventually worked his way up and began working with wealthy clients, and thus gained experience managing large financial accounts. In the early 80s, he left Bear Stearns and founded his own financial management firm, where he claimed to specialize in advising the extremely wealthy.

Over time, Epstein began building his reputation as someone who managed money exclusively for billionaires and high-net-worth individuals. This reputation was the entry point to elite circles that included politicians, notable academics, business owners/leaders, and even celebrities. This newfound wealth enabled him to begin his real estate portfolio, purchasing properties like a large mansion in Manhattan, his notorious island in the Caribbean, and his infamous Palm Beach estate.

These real estate assets were an important part of Epstein's rapid rise to an elite status, as he would often host large, lavish social gatherings where he would invite prominent figures. This worked to reinforce his image as a powerful figure connected to influential networks, despite the fact that he does not have the same credentials and experiences as those around him. Although being considered elite at this point, his financial dealings were extremely private and difficult to verify. For years, this combination of wealth, influence, and secrecy helped shield him from deeper scrutiny, from the public and those around him.

QUESTION 3: WHAT CRIMES WAS EPSTEIN ACCUSED AND CONVICTED OF?

This shield was soon eliminated in 2005, after police in Palm Beach, Florida, began investigating allegations of sexual abuse of underage girls. This put nationwide attention and public scrutiny on Epstein, and people began to question his immense wealth, power, and true intentions. According to investigators on this case, several teenage girls were recruited to the Epstein estate in Florida on the premise of providing massages for financial compensation, usually hundreds of dollars.

These encounters became sexual in nature, with the [girls receiving more money for their participation](#). Authorities also noted that some of these victims were encouraged to recruit other girls, which created a disturbing pattern of [minors recruiting minors for sexual acts](#). He was arrested in 2006.

In 2008, Epstein's trial reached a [controversial plea agreement](#) with federal prosecutors. Epstein did not end up facing federal sex trafficking charges, and instead, pleaded guilty in Florida state court to charges related to prostitution of a minor. He was sentenced to 18 months in jail, but only served a total of 13. During the majority of that time, he was allowed to leave the detention facility during the day under a [work-release program](#). This privilege, not often granted, was heavily criticized as it was unusually lenient given the seriousness of the allegations.

More than a decade later, federal prosecutors arrested Epstein in New York. In 2019, he was [arrested on charges of sex trafficking minors](#). Prosecutors claimed that he had been operating a broader network of abuse involving countless victims over many years. With this arrest, the case was brought back into public light and intensified the criticism of the 2008 plea agreement, which many felt was [merely a slap on the wrist](#) because of his power and influence.

QUESTION 4: WHAT ROLE DID GHISLAINE MAXWELL PLAY?

When talking about Epstein and his various charges, it is important to note that he did not act alone. Ghislaine Maxwell, [a British socialite and the daughter of prominent media figure Robert Maxwell](#), was heavily associated with Epstein during the 1990s. They were frequently seen together at social events, and Maxwell was often at his various homes and gatherings. The two were in a romantic relationship, which became one of the central focuses of the investigations into Epstein's crimes.

Prosecutors found that Maxwell played a very significant role in Epstein's sexual abuse network.

These encounters became sexual in nature, with the [girls receiving more money for their participation](#). Authorities also noted that some of these victims were encouraged to recruit other girls, which created a disturbing pattern of [minors recruiting minors for sexual acts](#). He was arrested in 2006.

In 2008, Epstein's trial reached a [controversial plea agreement](#) with federal prosecutors. Epstein did not end up facing federal sex trafficking charges, and instead, pleaded guilty in Florida state court to charges related to prostitution of a minor. He was sentenced to 18 months in jail, but only served a total of 13. During the majority of that time, he was allowed to leave the detention facility during the day under a [work-release program](#). This privilege, not often granted, was heavily criticized as it was unusually lenient given the seriousness of the allegations.

More than a decade later, federal prosecutors arrested Epstein in New York. In 2019, he was [arrested on charges of sex trafficking minors](#). Prosecutors claimed that he had been operating a broader network of abuse involving countless victims over many years. With this arrest, the case was brought back into public light and intensified the criticism of the 2008 plea agreement, which many felt was [merely a slap on the wrist](#) because of his power and influence.

QUESTION 4: WHAT ROLE DID GHISLAINE MAXWELL PLAY?

When talking about Epstein and his various charges, it is important to note that he did not act alone. Ghislaine Maxwell, [a British socialite and the daughter of prominent media figure Robert Maxwell](#), was heavily associated with Epstein during the 1990s. They were frequently seen together at social events, and Maxwell was often at his various homes and gatherings. The two were in a romantic relationship, which became one of the central focuses of the investigations into Epstein's crimes.

Prosecutors found that Maxwell played a very significant role in Epstein's sexual abuse network.

According to various court records and testimonies from survivors, [Maxwell helped recruit young girls](#) into Epstein's network by presenting opportunities such as travel, mentorship, or social connections. Some survivors described being approached in environments where teenagers gathered, including community programs and social events. For example, she and Epstein were heavily [involved at Interlochen Arts Camp](#), an elite arts organization in the Midwest where Epstein had taken bassoon lessons as a child. Here, victims remember meeting the noteworthy couple and being lured into sexual acts for money.

Maxwell was [convicted in 2021 on federal sex trafficking charges](#) for helping facilitate Epstein's abuse network. Prosecutors argued that she helped facilitate Epstein's larger system of exploitation by identifying and recruiting victims, which helped maintain the network that enabled extreme sexual abuse. She was later [sentenced to 20 years in prison](#) and is serving her sentence today.

QUESTION 5: WHY IS UNDERSTANDING EPSTEIN HIMSELF IMPORTANT BEFORE INTERPRETING THE FILES?

Recent document releases connected to the Epstein case have drawn attention to the many individuals who appeared in his social orbit. However, being mentioned in legal documents does not necessarily indicate wrongdoing. Many individuals in the records were simply part of Epstein's broader network of social and professional contacts, and many have no true association with the sex offender. It is important to understand who Epstein was, an elite socialite with an infinite network, to fully understand the meanings and name mentions of the files.

How he built power, how his network functioned, and how his crimes were initially handled help provide the context needed to interpret those documents responsibly. Without that context, it can be easy for speculation and misinformation to overshadow the verified facts.

Ultimately, Jeffrey Epstein’s story is not only about one disgraced individual. It raises countless questions about modern systems of wealth, the power of influence, and institutional failure that allowed his damaging crimes to persist for years. This context is essential as the public continues to examine the records and attempt to understand the man at the center of them.

WORKS CITED

- Aguirre, Holly. “The Horrors of Jeffrey Epstein’s Private Island.” *Vanity Fair*, 20 July 2019, www.vanityfair.com/news/2019/07/horrors-of-jeffrey-epstein-private-island?srsltid=AfmBOop-IXLXIsvssU2yhANm5Dc4alxCevKqcqXBYmoGcwwAK7UmWfx.
- Arnaud Leparmentier. “How Jeffrey Epstein Built the Global Network That Protected Him.” *Le Monde.fr*, *Le Monde*, 15 Feb. 2026, www.lemonde.fr/en/international/article/2026/02/15/how-jeffrey-epstein-built-the-global-network-that-protected-him_6750514_4.html.
- Bahney, Anna. “Jeffrey Epstein’s \$22 Million Palm Beach Mansion Will Be Demolished.” *CNN*, 4 Nov. 2020, www.cnn.com/2020/11/04/success/jeffrey-epstein-palm-beach-house-demolished. Accessed 10 Mar. 2026.
- Berger, Ava. “How Epstein and Maxwell Used an Elite Midwest Arts School to Prey on Girls.” *NPR*, 19 Feb. 2026, www.npr.org/2026/02/19/nx-s1-5707290/epstein-files-victims-interlochen-ghislaine-maxwell.
- Duignan, Brian. “Ghislaine Maxwell | Sex Trafficking, Jeffrey Epstein, Conviction, Sentence, Robert Maxwell, & Facts.” *Encyclopedia Britannica*, 30 July 2025, www.britannica.com/biography/Ghislaine-Maxwell.
- Enrich, David, et al. “Inside Jeffrey Epstein’s Manhattan Townhouse: Birthday Letters, First Edition “*Lolita*” and More.” *The New York Times*, 5 Aug. 2025, www.nytimes.com/2025/08/05/us/jeffrey-epstein-mansion-photos.html.
- Flemming, Tessa. “Inside the World of Jeffrey Epstein’s Friends, Associates and Alleged Co-Conspirators.” *Abc.net.au*, *ABC News*, 13 Sept. 2025, www.abc.net.au/news/2025-09-13/jeffrey-epstein-friends-associates-over-the-years/105593832.

WORKS CITED

- “Interventions Details | Pathways to Work.” Acf.gov, 2026, pathwaystowork.acf.gov/intervention-detail/533.
- Lightner, Barb . “Jeffrey Epstein | EBSCO.” EBSCO Information Services, Inc. | [Www.ebsco.com](https://www.ebsco.com), 2024, www.ebsco.com/research-starters/biography/jeffrey-epstein.
- PBS NewsHour. “The “Completely Unprecedented” Plea Deal Jeffrey Epstein Made with Alex Acosta.” PBS, 8 July 2019, www.pbs.org/newshour/show/the-completely-unprecedented-plea-deal-jeffrey-epstein-made-with-alex-acosta.
- Schuknecht, Cat. “A Young Jeffrey Epstein Made an Impression on His High School Students.” NPR, 19 July 2019, www.npr.org/2019/07/19/742725946/a-young-jeffrey-epstein-made-an-impression-on-his-high-school-students.
- “The Fed - Bear Stearns, JPMorgan Chase, and Maiden Lane LLC.” [Www.federalreserve.gov](https://www.federalreserve.gov), 1 Dec. 2010, www.federalreserve.gov/regreform/reform-bearstearns.htm.
- U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of New York. “Jeffrey Epstein Charged in Manhattan Federal Court with Sex Trafficking of Minors.” [Justice.gov](https://www.justice.gov), 8 July 2019, www.justice.gov/usao-sdny/pr/jeffrey-epstein-charged-manhattan-federal-court-sex-trafficking-minors.
- United States Department of Justice. “Ghislaine Maxwell Sentenced to 20 Years in Prison for Conspiring with Jeffrey Epstein to Sexually Abuse Minors.” [Www.justice.gov](https://www.justice.gov), 28 June 2022, www.justice.gov/usao-sdny/pr/ghislaine-maxwell-sentenced-20-years-prison-conspiring-jeffrey-epstein-sexually-abuse.
- United States District Court, Southern District of New York. “United States of America v. Jeffrey Epstein.” [Justice.gov](https://www.justice.gov), 2025, www.justice.gov/usao-sdny/press-release/file/1180481/dl.
- “Who Was Jeffrey Epstein? The Disgraced Financier with Powerful Associates.” BBC, 6 Feb. 2026, www.bbc.com/news/articles/c93n7yg47deo.


IMAGE: Rick Friedman, Getty Images

INFOGRAPHIC: CRIME-LINE

BY ELLE DWYER

EPSTEIN'S CRIME-LINE

A comprehensive timeline of Epstein's crimes.




Human Trafficking Hotline
Call: (866) 455-5075 Text: (720) 999-9724



This timeline is intended to be shared via social media platforms, like Instagram and Facebook, as a digestible graphic of Epstein's crimes and prosecutions.

WHAT ARE THE FILES?

BY CHARLOTTE BLOCK



“The files” is a phrase that has been circulating in the news and social media for years. It’s shrouded with misinformation, misconceptions, and endless implications that have yet to play out. The files are a compilation of materials in connection with the investigation and prosecution of Jeffrey Epstein. They have been used by the media largely as an attention-grabbing exposé, implicating dozens of powerful individuals in one of the largest sex-trafficking operations involving underage girls. However, high-profile cases like these are prone to misinformation, with social media often amplifying sensational news that has not been verified. For this reason, it’s essential to balance our fascination with factual evidence.

The files represent something much larger than the resignation of a handful of powerful individuals,

with the [UN reporting](#) that conduct could amount to sexual slavery, reproductive violence, enforced disappearance, torture, inhuman and degrading treatment, and femicide. This behavior displayed by Epstein's international ring of the wealthy and powerful is now information in the public domain, sparking conversations about power and accountability within our institutions. But to understand the extent of the information we have at our fingertips, and to resist the shiny, tempting tabloid pieces, it's necessary to understand not only the significance of the files, but the context of their release and what they really contain.

Why the files were released

The Epstein case has been closely followed by the public, with critics pointing to longstanding ambiguity and legal apathy surrounding the investigations. Despite ongoing political debate over the investigation, the largest batch of files was released in accordance with an act passed almost unanimously, with the House voting 427-1. While Biden has been scrutinized for not releasing the Epstein files, the case was still an [open criminal investigation](#) under his administration (2020-2024), with information still being collected by the FBI. It is generally uncommon for materials to be released at this stage of an investigation.

The files have been released at various stages over the years, contributing to the disjointed understanding of what information is in the public domain. Thus far, 3.5 million pages of material in relation to the Jeffrey Epstein case are accessible to the public. An additional 3 million pages have not yet been released. The largest release was in compliance with the [Epstein Files Transparency Act](#), passed by Congress and signed into law by President Donald Trump in July 2025. The Act requires the Department of Justice (DOJ) to publish all unclassified records, documents, communications, and investigative reports that relate to the prosecution of Jeffrey Epstein. More specifically, the files [address three areas](#): (1) materials that relate to Ghislaine Maxwell, (2) flight logs and travel records, and (3) individuals named or referenced

(including government officials) in connection with Jeffrey Epstein. Since their release, the documents have sparked court challenges, congressional hearings, resignations and firings and widespread speculation online.

What the Epstein files contain

The files refer to a collection of legal documents that detail methods of recruitment and grooming, collaborators, financial support, and communication records that enabled Epstein's sex-trafficking ring. They illustrate a systematic network that was incredibly well-documented. While the thoroughness with which Epstein conducted his affairs may be overwhelming, it clearly illuminates both criminal behavior and high-profile social networks.

The files are organized into twelve separate data sets, each containing different categories of evidence:

- Data sets 1-8 contain the majority of FBI interview summaries and police reports between 2005 and 2008.
- Data set 9 contains email evidence between Epstein and high-profile individuals.
- Data set 10 contains 180,000 images and 2,000 videos seized from Epstein's properties.
- Data set 11 contains financial information, flight lists, and property seizure records.
- Data set 12 contains supplemental items that require more legal review.

Much of what has been released is heavily redacted, meaning it has been censored or obscured for legal or security reasons. Any image or document containing a victim's personally identifiable information should be redacted. This includes materials depicting the sexual abuse of children, or images of death, physical abuse, or injury. However, there exist grave discrepancies in the files, with images failing to obscure the faces of women while hiding the faces of men. Some duplicates also exist with different levels of redactions

applied. With 500 reviewers working to meet the requirements of the act while protecting the victims, there is no clear explanation for these numerous errors. Additionally, anything related to an active criminal investigation is redacted.

The redacted material of the Epstein files adds a dynamic element to the case in that as investigations develop, the information we know will change.

Being in the files does not equal guilt

The Epstein files do not represent a confirmed “client list” nor proof of criminal activity. A list of “politically exposed persons” in the files was sent to Congress by the DOJ in compliance with the Transparency Act. The list is six pages long, includes hundreds of names, and does not disclose the context in which the names appear. This brings about the issue of distinguishing between those closely linked to Epstein and those distantly affiliated. Some have criticized the release of names at all, arguing that naming individuals is purposefully muddying the waters on who was a predator and who was mentioned in an email. Experts also claim there are names missing from the list. This uncertainty around what information is relevant, what’s excessive, and what’s missing creates a whirlpool of questions and confusion regarding who is actually incriminated in the files. So far, no one on the list, other than Epstein and Maxwell, has been charged in connection to Epstein’s crimes. However, dozens of individuals have resigned due to their close ties and current investigations are ongoing.

It’s important to recognize that names appear in the files in a variety of contexts. Some individuals had extensive direct communication with Epstein or Maxwell while others are only referenced briefly in the documents, including press reporting. Celebrities like Timothee Chalamet have come under scrutiny

for being listed in the files, yet a quick fact check shows he was only referenced in an email.

What the files suggest about power and accountability

For the public, the files are more than incriminating evidence against high-profile individuals. They shed light on the power structures that enabled such a large operation to be protected and preserved for so long. To pray on so many young women, to involve so many powerful men, and to operate within elite social circles for years suggests not only individual wrongdoing but systemic failure. Epstein's wealth, social connections, and proximity to influential figures created an environment where accountability was delayed, and still is not fulfilled.

Some see the files as the start of justice – evidence that the wealthy and powerful are not untouchable; that no one is above the law. To others, they represent another example of how power can obscure accountability. Information has been withheld, numerous blunders in redactions fail to protect victims' identities, and still only two individuals have been criminally charged. While exposure does not equal prosecution, the files have allowed for the scrutiny of powerful individuals and spurred public discourse around rape culture and the extent of society's past complacency in the face of such sexual violence.

Accountability, however, is difficult to achieve and has been limited. Information in the files may not meet legal thresholds for prosecution and fragmented releases complicate efforts to interpret the evidence fully. Grave errors in the release process also underscore the need for standard operating procedures that prioritize victims' privacy and safety, not just legal transparency. The files present significance not just for prosecutions, but they raise questions about power, influence, and how justice functions when the accused operate within powerful, elite networks.

WORKS CITED

“Department of Justice Publishes 3.5 Million Responsive Pages in Compliance with the Epstein Files Transparency Act.” U.S. Department of Justice, <https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/departments-justice-publishes-35-million-responsive-pages-compliance-epstein-files>

“Flawed Epstein Files Disclosures Undermine Accountability for Grave Crimes.” Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, <https://www.ohchr.org/en/press-releases/2026/02/flawed-epstein-files-disclosures-undermine-accountability-grave-crimes>

“HHRG-119-JU00-20260211-SD013.” U.S. House of Representatives, <https://docs.house.gov/meetings/JU/JU00/20260211/118951/HHRG-119-JU00-20260211-SD013.pdf>

“Justice Department Lists Hundreds of Prominent People Named in Epstein Files in Letter to Congress.” CNN, 15 Feb. 2026, <https://www.cnn.com/2026/02/15/politics/doj-epstein-files-prominent-people>

“Struggling to Navigate the Epstein Files? Here Is a Visual Guide.” Al Jazeera, 10 Feb. 2026, <https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2026/2/10/struggling-to-navigate-the-epstein-files-here-is-a-visual-guide>

“Trump Administration Says It Will Not Meet Deadline to Release All Epstein Files.” Congress.gov, <https://www.congress.gov/bill/119th-congress/house-bill/4405>

“What to Know About the Latest Epstein Files Release.” NPR, 3 Feb. 2026, <https://www.npr.org/2026/02/03/nx-s1-5696975/what-to-know-epstein-files-latest>

“UN News: Calls for Accountability After Epstein Files Release.” UN News, <https://news.un.org/en/story/2026/02/1166980>

REDACTED

MILLIONS OF

PAGES **LATER: WHERE**

THE EPSTEIN **INVESTIGATION**

CURRENTLY STANDS

REDACTED

BY LAUREN KORT

More than six years after Jeffrey Epstein [died](#) in federal custody while awaiting his trial on sex trafficking charges, the case is far from closed. The Department of Justice has been releasing investigative records in waves of massive public disclosures since 2025, allowing millions of pages of evidence to enter the public record. Yet the ongoing releases have raised as many questions from the public and Congress as they have answered. The majority of the archive currently remains unreleased or redacted, issues in publication have raised complications, and lawmakers continue to press federal officials for greater transparency.

As new materials continue to emerge and scrutiny of the Justice Department intensifies, the Epstein investigation has entered a new phase. The public is now focused not only on what the files contain, but what information is still being withheld and what steps investigators may take next.

Have all the files been released?

Due to the massive amount of investigative records that the FBI seized from Epstein's many properties, the Department of Justice has been releasing the files to the public in stages. On January 30, 2026, the Department of Justice published the [largest collection](#) of materials yet. This release included over three million pages of documents, 2000 videos, and 180,000 images. Despite this enormous influx of content, we are currently less than halfway through the total evidentiary archive. Investigators have identified about six million pages that are potentially relevant to the ongoing investigation.

Is everything in the released files currently available to the public?

The Department of Justice routinely redacts information from the documents that fall under one of the [four following categories](#):

1. Duplicate documents between the investigations conducted by the Southern District of New York and the Southern District of Florida
2. Withheld under privilege-deliberative process and attorney-client privilege
3. Explicit depictions of violence or identifying information of victims
4. Items unrelated to the investigation of Epstein and Maxwell

Despite these clear parameters for redaction, there has been public scrutiny and controversy surrounding the redactions ever since the Department of Justice's initial release of documents in December of 2025.

The identity of many victims were published without their consent and redacted only after complaints were brought to the Department of Justice. Additionally, members of the public discovered a hack where they were able to recover redacted content by copying and pasting it into another application. This revealed over 550 pages of blacked-out content, including an FBI tip alleging that Donald Trump witnessed the disposal of an infant born to a 13 year old sex trafficking victim. Naturally, this discovery ignited questioning from the public regarding the nature of redacted material in the files. This anger was spurred further in September of 2025 by a secret recording of the DOJ acting deputy of Chief saying that “they’ll redact every Republican or conservative person in [the] files [and] leave all the liberal, Democratic people”. Nevertheless, prominent politicians and celebrities from both political parties have been mentioned in the documents and photographed with Epstein.

Have those people faced consequences?

One of the most persistent questions surrounding the Epstein files is whether the many powerful figures mentioned in the documents will face any sort of legal consequences. As of right now, it does not seem that any legal action is being taken against these individuals. Investigators have repeatedly explained that appearing in the records does not necessarily imply criminal wrongdoing. In order to prosecute someone in connection with Epstein’s trafficking operation, federal investigators would need to obtain admissible evidence that demonstrates the individual knowingly participated in or facilitated illegal activity. Examples of such evidence could include corroborated witness testimony, financial records, or other documents or communications that reveal a suspect’s specific intent. While this type of evidence has not been publicly released, there are references to people who reappear in Epstein’s flight logs, email correspondence, and witness interviews. Suspicions are swirling about how so many business leaders, politicians, and celebrities could have been unaware of Epstein’s operation while being so closely implicated with him.

Recent congressional inquiries have attempted to shed light on the nature of these relationships. In February of 2026, former President Bill Clinton underwent more than six hours of questioning in a [historic closed-door deposition](#) before the House Oversight Committee, marking the first time a former U.S. president has been compelled to testify under subpoena before a congressional committee. During questioning, Clinton acknowledged that he had flown on Epstein's private jet during international travel connected to the Clinton Foundation's humanitarian work, but insisted that he had neither visited Epstein's private island nor witnessed any of his criminal activity. Like every other political figure mentioned in the documents, he maintained that his relationship with Epstein was limited and that he cut contact with him in the early 2000s before Epstein's conviction.

Critics have highlighted the inconsistency of the Clintons being compelled to testify before Congress while there has been no comparable effort to subpoena former and current President Trump, who also appears in several photographs and witness statements. The FBI reportedly compiled a list with more than a [dozen allegations](#) fired against Trump, though the Department of Justice declared these to be "untrue and sensationalist claims made against President Trump that were submitted to the FBI right before the 2020 election." Still, it remains politically contentious whether these claims were thoroughly investigated or not. This is complicated by the fact that the agency responsible for reviewing and releasing the Epstein files operates under the authority of the executive branch, meaning the Department of Justice ultimately reports back to the sitting president.

What's the Department of Justice doing right now?

The [U.S. Department of Justice](#) is the federal department that enforces federal law and oversees criminal investigations conducted by agencies like the FBI. The [Attorney General](#) is nominated by the president and confirmed by the Senate to oversee the entire department. Thus, current Attorney General Pam Bondi controls the review, redaction, and release of all investigative materials in the Epstein investigation despite being a long-term political ally of Donald Trump.

Concerns regarding her impartiality led the House Oversight Committee to vote on March 4, 2026 to [subpoena Bondi](#). This means Congress is forcing her to testify again, focusing specifically on missing files, redactions, open investigations, and whether political considerations have affected the Department of Justice's handling of the evidentiary archive.

Ted Lieu and Daniel Goldman are representatives from California and New York, respectively, who sent an [open letter](#) to Deputy Attorney General Todd Blanche requesting the appointment of an independent counsel to oversee any investigation involving Bondi or other DOJ officials connected to the handling of the Epstein records. In the letter, they address Bondi's alleged perjury from her February 11, 2026 testimony where she said under oath that "there is no evidence that Donald Trump has committed a crime." In direct contrast with this claim, interview records show multiple accusations against Trump for illegal behavior in connection with Jeffrey Epstein. The lawmakers argued that an independent review would prevent further deception in the investigation. As of now, it is unclear whether the Justice Department plans to act on that request.

What's next in this investigation?

The next phase of the Epstein investigation is likely to unfold through a combination of congressional oversight, additional file releases, and potential lawsuits. With millions of pages of the evidentiary archive still being reviewed, lawmakers and investigators are turning their attention beyond just the content of the files, investigating also how the federal government has handled their disclosure. The most immediate development will likely be the House Oversight Committee's subpoena of Attorney General Pam Bondi, which was approved on March 4, 2026. Aside from the pace of the document releases and the scope of the redactions, Members of Congress have indicated that the hearing will also examine whether the Justice Department prematurely closed investigative leads connected to individuals who appeared in the files.

The historic deposition of the Clintons established a precedent that may shape how Congress approaches the investigation moving forward. The authority to issue subpoenas during oversight investigations has already been used to obtain testimony from former president Bill Clinton, so it theoretically could be extended to other powerful individuals whose names appear repeatedly in the files. Whether Congress ultimately chooses to pursue additional subpoenas will probably depend largely on any additional information that emerges in the next wave of published records.

Beyond criminal investigations, the Epstein documents may also have significant implications for civil litigation. Many survivors have hired attorneys to analyze the released files for indication of institutions that enabled Epstein's scheme. While the DOJ is not currently opening any new criminal prosecutions, the records could play a critical role in future lawsuits seeking damages from individuals or organizations alleged to have facilitated the operation. Through the years, the Epstein investigation has evolved from one man's prosecution into an ongoing effort to determine how an international sex trafficking operation was able to function for years within an elite social network, and whether the agencies responsible for investigating this operation are willing to expose the people behind it.

WORKS CITED

ABC News. (2019, August 10). Jeffrey Epstein, accused sex trafficker, dies by suicide: Officials. <https://abcnews.com/US/jeffrey-epstein-accused-sex-trafficker-dies-suicide-officials/story?id=64881684>

ABC News. (2026). DOJ appears to have withheld Epstein documents, allegations involving Trump prompt oversight questions. <https://abcnews.com/US/doj-appears-withheld-epstein-documents-allegations-trump-oversight/story?id=130461467>

Associated Press. (2026). Justice Department releases millions of pages of Epstein investigation files. <https://apnews.com/article/epstein-files-justice-department-trump-ed743598c320b94bd9d91631618678d9>

Lieu, T., & Goldman, D. (2026). Reps. Lieu and Goldman call for special counsel to investigate Attorney General Bondi. U.S. House of Representatives. <https://lieu.house.gov/media-center/press-releases/rep-lieu-and-goldman-call-special-counsel-investigate-ag-bondi>

Snopes. (2025). Did Trump witness the killing of a baby tied to Epstein trafficking allegations? <https://www.snopes.com/fact-check/trump-baby-lake-michigan/>

The Guardian. (2025, December 23). Epstein files released online with unredacted information circulating on social media. <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/dec/23/epstein-unredacted-files-social-media>

The New Republic. (2025). Justice Department official caught on video discussing Epstein files. <https://newrepublic.com/post/200027/justice-department-official-project-veritas-o-keefe-epstein-files-video>

U.S. Department of Justice. (2026). Department of Justice publishes 3.5 million responsive pages in compliance with Epstein Files Transparency Act. <https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/department-justice-publishes-35-million-responsive-pages-compliance-epstein-files>

WORKS CITED

U.S. Department of Justice. (n.d.). About the Department of Justice.
<https://www.justice.gov/about>

U.S. Department of Justice. (n.d.). Office of the Attorney General.
<https://www.justice.gov/ag>

Wall Street Journal. (2026). Epstein files release exposes names of at least 43 victims, review finds. <https://www.wsj.com/us-news/law/epstein-files-release-exposes-names-of-at-least-43-victims-wsj-review-finds-ba4ff95e>

AN OPEN LETTER TO PAM BONDI

BY LAUREN KORT



Ms. Pamela Bondi,

As the Attorney General of the United States Department of Justice, it is your responsibility to uphold the integrity of investigations conducted by the DOJ and counsel the leaders of our nation on legal matters of exceptional gravity.

As a woman, it is your responsibility to protect and support victims of sexual abuse and use your position of power to condemn their aggressors.

As of the drafting of this letter on March 16th, 2026, you have failed to uphold either of these responsibilities. You have lied under oath, withheld documents from the public, and distorted information related to the Epstein investigation.

In an [interview](#) with Fox News on February 21, 2025, host John Roberts asked whether the Department of Justice would release a list of Jeffrey Epstein's clients, meaning the individuals who actively participated in his sexual trafficking operation. In response, you claimed, "It's sitting on my desk right now to review. That's been a directive by President Trump. I'm reviewing that." Yet in a [memo](#) published by the Federal Bureau of Investigation in July of 2025, it was reported that their review of all evidentiary materials did not reveal any incriminating client list.

So I ask you, Ms. Bondi, whose account is true? Did you lie in your interview with Fox News to exacerbate public suspicion of opposing politicians? Or did the FBI lie in their memo in order to protect some of Epstein's co-conspirators? Both scenarios severely undermine the credibility of the Department of Justice and your position as Attorney General.

Your mishandling of victims' private information suggests a blatant disregard for the survivors of Epstein's scheme. The January 2026 release of documents included unredacted nude images showing young (potentially underage) women with their faces completely visible. Attorneys for survivors said that names of victims who had never been publicly linked to Epstein were [published in the files](#). In fact, attorneys representing more than 200 alleged victims requested the entire website containing the Epstein files be deleted, as it was the "single most [egregious violation of victim privacy](#) in one day in United States history." You and other officials in the DOJ have repeatedly attributed the redactions to survivor protection, but this mistake suggests your redactions may be hiding something else entirely.

You have also publicly understated the scale and devastation of Epstein's operation, announcing in multiple interviews with Fox News that there were 254 victims in total. The same July 2025 [FBI memo](#) reported that Epstein actually harmed over one thousand victims, each suffering unique trauma. As the head of the agency tasked with analyzing and publicizing all evidence related to the Epstein case, you either failed to perform your job of overseeing the investigation or knowingly minimized the victim count in your interviews.

On February 11, 2026, a photograph in a page of the “burn book” that you brought to a House Committee on the Judiciary hearing revealed to Congress and the entire world that the Department of Justice has been secretly tracking Congressional Members as they review the version of the files that is slightly less redacted than that which is made accessible to the public. Jayapal Pramila, whose printed search history you held at a congressional hearing, declared that it is “totally inappropriate and against the separation of powers for the DOJ to surveil us as we search the Epstein files.” Even the Republican Speaker of the House Mike Johnson echoed this sentiment, stating, “I think members should obviously have the right to peruse those at their own speed and with their own discretion and I don’t think it’s appropriate for anybody to be tracking that. I will echo that to anybody involved in the DOJ.” The Speech or Debate Clause of the U.S. Constitution clearly dictates that Members of Congress “shall not be questioned” in the pursuit of their legislative duties and therefore bars executive actions that may constitute a distraction or disruption to a Member’s representative or legislative role.

Your actions have blatantly violated constitutional protections and elicited bipartisan outrage. They must be remedied. Please consider the damage you are causing to the American legal system and to the many survivors of Epstein’s operation who are depending on you to deliver them justice. It is of the utmost necessity that you immediately cease your surveillance of the Members of Congress. Release the remaining documents in Epstein’s evidentiary archive using proper redactions. Educate yourself on the investigation that you are meant to be spearheading before you deliver public commentary about it.

These demands are the absolute bare minimum requirements for the Attorney General of our nation’s Department of Justice. If you wish to continue with your pattern of secrecy and misinformation, you must step down from your position and allow it to be overtaken by somebody who will fulfill their responsibilities.

This letter is written and signed by a concerned American citizen.

WORKS CITED

ABC News. (2026, February 1). Epstein victims' lawyers ask court to order DOJ to take down Epstein files website. <https://abcnews.com/US/epstein-victims-lawyers-court-order-doj-epstein-files/story?id=129766059>

CNN. (2025, July 7). Bondi says Epstein files contain no client list and releases suicide memo. <https://www.cnn.com/2025/07/07/politics/bondi-epstein-files-client-list-suicide-memo>

House Committee on Oversight and Accountability (Democrats). (2026). Letter to Attorney General Pam Bondi regarding Epstein files. https://oversightdemocrats.house.gov/imo/media/doc/letter_to_pam_bondi5.pdf

NBC News. (2026). Lawmakers demand DOJ stop tracking lawmakers' Epstein files searches. <https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/congress/lawmakers-demand-doj-stop-tracking-lawmakers-epstein-files-searches-rcna258721>

Supreme Court of the United States. (1975). United States v. Nixon, 421 U.S. 491. <https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/421/491/>

U.S. Department of Justice. (2026). Epstein files document release (official DOJ document). <https://www.justice.gov/opa/media/1407001/dl>

Wall Street Journal. (2026). Epstein files release exposes names of at least 43 victims, review finds. <https://www.wsj.com/us-news/law/epstein-files-release-exposes-names-of-at-least-43-victims-wsj-review-finds-ba4ff95e>

EPSTEIN'S NETWORK

BY LUNA SANTIAGO

For several decades, Jeffrey Epstein's rise to prominence amongst elite circles has long been subject to conflicting accounts. While international survivors, journalists, and citizens alike scratched their heads wondering how he and Ghislaine Maxwell managed to pull off a deeply hush-hush sex trafficking ring, one thing is clear: Jeffrey Epstein cultivated a vast network of wealthy, powerful, influential figures that allowed him to pursue his illegal activities virtually unscathed for decades. From Wall Street financiers to foreign dignitaries, Epstein established his influence amongst these elite circles. Following the latest release of the Epstein files last month, waves of high-profile figures have resigned or been ousted from their positions. The extensive redaction of content in the files and the denial from figures regarding their relationship with the disgraced financier have fueled widespread speculation about the identities of those involved and the extent of their participation in the sex trafficking ring. Whether they are a household name or not, emails, text messages, and photographs offer a glimpse into the private lives of the world's most influential figures. Here are their names:

Andrew Mountbatten-Windsor



Max Mumby, Getty Images

It was 8:00 AM on February 19, 2026, when Andrew Mountbatten-Windsor, formerly known as Prince Andrew, was taken into custody at the royal Sandringham estate. His arrest followed the latest release of the Epstein files. An arrest of this scale, involving a reigning monarch, is without precedent in British history, but it is certainly not the last.

Windsor, born in 1960, is the third child of Queen Elizabeth II, and brother of King Charles III. He was formerly known as the Duke of York, a title he held from 1986.

Although Andrew claims he first met Epstein through Maxwell in 1999, an account from his then-private Secretary, Alastair Watson, suggests Andrew had known Epstein since the early 1990s. The two were seen together publicly on several occasions up until the year 2010, well after Epstein's first conviction in 2008. As early as June 2000, the three had attended the Royal Ascot together, while in December later that year, Andrew reportedly threw a shooting weekend event for Ghislaine Maxwell's birthday in London.

The revelations made in 2019 brought Andrew and Epstein's name into the headlines once again with Epstein's arrest. This time, Andrew withdrew from all public duties in November. However, it was not until 2022 when Andrew was formally stripped of his military affiliations and royal patrons by Queen Elizabeth. Shortly before that, Andrew reached a multimillion-dollar settlement with Virginia Guiffre, whom he assaulted three separate times between 2001 and 2002 through Epstein's ring.

Amid the release of more Epstein files, Andrew's association with Epstein continued to make headlines, and was finally stripped of his royal titles by his brother, King Charles, on October 30, 2025. Finally, Andrew was arrested and awaits further investigation on suspicion of misconduct in public office.

Epstein's suicide, unfortunately, failed to provide closure, leaving significant unanswered questions for victims like Virginia Giuffre. Given the current progress of the investigation, it remains uncertain when or if these survivors will achieve justice. Prince Andrew's involvement in the sexual abuse of a minor has had a devastating impact, not only on the country he once represented, but also on the life of a promising young woman.

In April 2025 at her farm in Western Australia, Virginia Vuiffre died by suicide at the age of 41.

Kathryn Ruemmler



Kathy Ruemmler was once one of the nation's most respected lawyers. She served as a key legal advisor in the Obama administration, holding a position within the White House Counsel. From 2011 to 2014, Ruemmler advised the former president on legal matters related to domestic and foreign policy and national security. At one point, she was even a candidate for the role of U.S. attorney-general.

Ruemmler reportedly advised Epstein on how to respond to tough questions about his sex crimes, serving as his legal counselor, friend, and confidante before joining Goldman Sachs. Their relationship started around 2014, when she returned to private practice at Latham and Watkins following her departure from the Obama White House. In return, Epstein provided career service to Ruemmler, and showered her with Hermes gifts, luxury vacations, and high-end beauty treatments. Between 2014 and 2019, the two met more than 50 times and exchanged over 100 messages. The released files illustrated a relationship spanning well beyond mere acquaintance.

The close relationship between the two was evident in their conversations, which covered personal topics like dating and career shifts.

In several emails, Ruemmler referred to Epstein as a “sweetie” and “Uncle Jeffrey”, while Epstein addressed her as “my great defender”.

Ruemmler reportedly advised Epstein on how to respond to tough questions about his sex crimes, serving as his legal counselor, friend, and confidante before joining Goldman Sachs. Their relationship started around 2014, when she returned to private practice at Latham and Watkins following her departure from the Obama White House. In return, Epstein provided career service to Ruemmler, and showered her with Hermes gifts, luxury vacations, and high-end beauty treatments. Between 2014 and 2019, the two met more than 50 times and exchanged over 100 messages. The released files illustrated a relationship spanning well beyond mere acquaintance.

The close relationship between the two was evident in their conversations, which covered personal topics like dating and career shifts. In several emails, Ruemmler referred to Epstein as a “sweetie” and “Uncle Jeffrey”, while Epstein addressed her as “my great defender”. In one exchange, Ruemmler suggested a strategy to discredit an accuser, advising Epstein's lawyer to attempt to force the woman into a "perjury trap." On July 6, 2019, the date of Epstein's last arrest, Ruemmler was among the three people whom Epstein called.

Goldman Sachs aggressively defended Ruemmler for years, insisting her relationship with Epstein was strictly professional. The firm aggressively pursued an effort to shield her from negative news coverage, including hiring high-profile public relations firms and attorneys. Despite the emerging revelations, the firm maintained a business-as-usual relationship with Ruemmler. Meanwhile top Goldman executives, including CEO David M. Solomon stood firmly in support.

On February 12, 2026, Kathryn Ruemmler announced her resignation as Chief Legal Officer and General Counsel of Goldman Sachs.

Ruemmler will leave behind a generous salary of \$22 million from Goldman Sachs, and is instead projected to receive approximately \$80 million over the next two years from her company stock options, according to the New York Times.

Despite intense media scrutiny over her association with Epstein, she retained the public support of many business colleagues until the very end.

Sultan Ahmend bin Sulayem



Sultan Ahmend bin Sulayem is one of the many prominent businessmen recently exposed as one of Epstein's closest friends. On February 13, 2026, he resigned from his position as CEO of DP World.

DP World is a multinational logistic company based in Dubai, United Arab Emirates. It specialises in cargo logistics,

port operations, and maritime zones. It handles roughly 10% of the world's total trade. Upon the release of the Epstein files, international firms began severing links with DP world. Canada's second-largest pension fund and Quebec-based hub La Caisse, is a global investment group that manages several pension plans and insurance programs, with assets amounting \$517 billion. Following the revelation of bin Sulayem's relationship with Epstein, La Caisse said it was pausing capital deployments with the Dubai port operator, and would not carry out further investments until it took necessary actions.

Sultan Ahmend bin Sulayem, who also served as the Group Chairman of the Ports, Customs & Free Zone Corporation, oversaw the expansion of the Jebel Ali Free Z (JAFZA), a major trade hub that houses more than 11,000 companies, as its chair in the 1980s. Throughout his career, bin Sulayem leveraged his connections and experience to build a global logistics and supply chain powerhouse.

The files outlined a yearslong friendship between bin Sulayem and Epstein beginning as early as 2007. The two shared discussions on deals, business, politics, personal matters, as well as misogynistic sentiments toward women. In one [email](#), bin Sulayem messages Epstein: “Yes after several attempts for several months



we managed to meet in NY. there is a misunderstanding she she wanted some BUSINESS! while i only wanted some PUSSYNESS!”, to which Epstein responds, “praise Allah , there are still people like you”. In another, the two ominously reference a torture video exchanged that has drawn attention and scrutiny in the US Congress. Throughout the files, Epstein described bin Sulayem as a “funny”, educated”, and “best and trusted friend”, calling into question bin Sulayem’s involvement or knowledge of Epstein’s sex trafficking ring. In a now famous photograph uncovered in Epstein’s email, the two are seen spending time over dinner.

With the release of the files was bin Sulayem’s involvement in supporting Epstein’s trafficking. Jeffrey Epstein acquired the island of Great St, James, north of Little Saint James— known as Epstein Island— in 2016 by using a shell company whose beneficial owner was bin

Sulayem. This comes after the island's original owner refused to sell directly to Epstein due to his sexual offense history.

Sultan Ahmend bin Sulayem has not been arrested or accused of any wrongdoing in connection with Epstein. However, the great extent of messages and exchange of favors suggests the two maintained a deeply intimate relationship.

Epstein's actual level of influence in the Middle East remains unclear; however, documents show he was actively trying to recruit prominent regional figures into his network. For example, according to Reuters, Epstein attempted to offer advice to Qatari business and political leaders during the 2017-2021 blockade. This blockade was imposed by Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates, Bahrain, and Egypt, stemming from accusations of terrorism. Emails reveal Epstein's effort into advising political and financial elites throughout the Middle East following turmoil.

The Need for Accountability

For those outside the inner circles of global political and financial power, many of the names implicated in the Epstein files are unfamiliar. Figures like DP World's former CEO, Sultan Ahmed bin Sulayem, and the powerful corporations they lead are largely unknown to the general public in the United States and internationally. The complex operations of these corporations are intentionally obscure, making them difficult to track. While the Epstein files have revealed aspects of these elite relationships, they do not tell the complete story. Many other named powerful individuals remain shielded due to extensive redactions by the Department of Justice (DOJ). A number of figures have faced professional and reputational consequences as a result of their relationship with Epstein, including Andrew, Kathryn, and bin Sulayem.

Yet, none have been officially arrested for their relationship with Epstein, or any misconduct throughout their careers. To merely remove these figures from power is an insult to survivors internationally and to those whose elected officials have interfered with the release of the Epstein files. By leveraging a relationship with a well-interconnected convicted pedophile, a fact that has been known as early as 2006, these individuals climbed their way to untouchable prominence by either engaging in Epstein's illegal activity or aiding and abetting.

In the United States, the DOJ has withheld Epstein files related to allegations of elite figures, including [President Trump](#). Much of the files have not been made public, despite the Epstein Files Transparency Act mandating they be released. Some documents withheld or redacted regarded allegations that the President sexually abused a woman decades ago when she was a minor. Still, the President and his administration repeatedly refer to a DOJ statement claiming that the Epstein files contain "untrue and sensationalist claims", and in a letter by Attorney General Pam Bondi, she insists that no files have been withheld or redacted "on the basis of embarrassment, reputational harm, or political sensitivity, including to any government official, public figure, or foreign dignitary.". The striking inconsistency between the President's campaign promises to release the Epstein files and his refusal and downright objection to release the files today has alarmed many on what is being said behind the scenes, and he is not the only one.

The current lack of transparency signifies a failure on the part of the President and U.S. elected officials to fulfill their promises to the American people, and suggests this obscurity is concealing something far more significant than we can currently conceive with the limited information we have. The scope of Jeffrey Epstein's power and influence is evident in the many names connected to his orbit.

However, ongoing efforts by the Department of Justice and elected officials to restrict the full release of information have generated more questions than answers. To all, this ordeal has reinforced the idea that elites operate above the law, their status and connections shielding them against accountability. For the numerous survivors seeking accountability and closure, however, this lack of transparency and justice is a profound betrayal.

WORKS CITED

=Burack, Emily. A Complete Timeline of Prince Andrew and Jeffrey Epstein's Friendship, 19 Feb. 2026, www.townandcountrymag.com/leisure/arts-and-culture/a60296556/prince-andrew-jeffrey-epstein-relationship-timeline/.

Copeland, Rob. "Goldman Sachs General Counsel Kathryn Ruemmler Resigns over Epstein Ties - The New York Times." New York Times, 12 Feb. 2026, www.nytimes.com/2026/02/12/business/goldman-lawyer-kathryn-ruemmler-resigns.html.

Fowler, Stephen. "Justice Department Withheld and Removed Some Epstein Files Related to Trump." NPR, NPR, 24 Feb. 2026, www.npr.org/2026/02/24/nx-s1-5723968/epstein-files-trump-accusation-maxwell.

Haq, Sana Noor. "Powerful Dubai Tycoon Replaced after Doj Reveals Sexually Explicit Emails with Epstein | CNN Business." CNN, Cable News Network, 16 Feb. 2026, www.cnn.com/2026/02/13/business/epstein-files-bin-sulayem-friendship-intl.

Jana Choukeir, Andrew Mills and Maha El Dahan. "Epstein Tried to Build Web of Powerful Ties across Middle East, Documents Show." The Detroit News, Reuters, 18 Feb. 2026, www.detroitnews.com/story/news/world/2026/02/18/epstein-tried-build-web-powerful-ties-across-middle-east-documents-show/88735797007/?gnt-cfr=1&gca-cat=p&gca-uir=true&gca-epti=z112616p000050c000050v112616d--43--b-43--&gca-ft=182&gca-ds=sophi.

Khardori, Ankush. "Justice Department Releases Missing FBI Interviews in Epstein Files with Woman Who Made Claims against Trump | Reuters." Politico, 11 Mar. 2026, www.politico.com/news/magazine/2026/03/11/epstein-files-justice-department-no-prosecutions-column-00821127 .

Saeed, Ahmed Nour and Abdirahim. "Head of Dubai-Based Ports Giant DP World Quits after Epstein Links Revealed." BBC News, BBC, 13 Feb. 2026, www.bbc.com/news/articles/ce82xgd2g3yo.

Steck, Em, and Andrew Kaczynski. "Former Obama White House Attorney Dismissed Report on Abuse Allegations and Advised Epstein Media Strategy | CNN Politics." CNN, Cable News Network, 11 Dec. 2025, www.cnn.com/2025/12/11/politics/kfile-kathy-ruemmler-epstein-emails-epstein-files-invs-vis.

“Sultan Ahmed Bin Sulayem - Agenda Contributor.” World Economic Forum, www.weforum.org/stories/authors/sultan-ahmed-bin-sulayem/. Accessed 12 Mar. 2026.

Wilde, Winston, and Gary Ezard. “Ex-Prince Andrew Arrested in Widening Fallout Related to Jeffrey Epstein Ties.” PBS, Public Broadcasting Service, 19 Feb. 2026, www.pbs.org/newshour/show/ex-prince-andrew-arrested-in-widening-fallout-related-to-jeffrey-epstein-ties.

Case Study: Epstein's Abuse

Victims

BY DAMARIS BEAS



Human trafficking and sexual abuse are issues of tremendous global concern, as their degrading nature strips individuals of their dignity and autonomy. Those in power and with elite connections are often protected, while victims remain vulnerable. Therefore, this makes it difficult to hold perpetrators accountable and to achieve justice. By sharing their stories, survivors' voices can be amplified by putting a real person behind the name and raising public awareness of their experiences and the lasting impact these have had on them. One of the most controversial cases of human trafficking surrounds Jeffrey Epstein and the numerous underage girls he abused over the years. As evidence of Epstein's sexual exploitation and trafficking continues to be exposed, the stories of these real women and their experiences likewise need to

be brought to light. The sexual abuse experienced by victims has caused not only physical harm, but lasting mental health struggles and deep trauma for Epstein's victims. Among the most prominent and outspoken survivors of Epstein's predatory behavior are Virginia Giuffre and Annie Farmer, both of whom were minors when he first took advantage of them.

Virginia Giuffre

Virginia Giuffre was just 16 years old when she became a target and soon after a victim of Epstein's abuse. It was the year 2000, and she was working at the upscale Mar-a-Lago Resort in Palm Beach, Florida, where Ghislaine Maxwell first groomed her for Epstein. A common tactic used to lure young girls in, Maxwell, as a woman, seemed less threatening than Epstein to be approached by. This contributed to how young girls were unknowingly brought into a twisted world of trafficking and abuse perpetrated by powerful men.

Maxwell approached Virginia Giuffre by opening up a harmless conversation, which soon after led to offering her a job as a "traveling masseuse" for the affluent financier, Epstein. As a minor and having experienced sexual abuse from her own father and a family friend, Giuffre was not only a vulnerable young girl, but the perfect victim for Maxwell and Jeffrey to exploit.

The abuse began by inviting Virginia to Epstein's mansion for what she was told by Maxwell would be an interview for the position with the wealthy man. Ghislaine Maxwell played a powerful role in intimidating and pressuring Virginia to feel that the situations she was put in were normal and to comply with the requests and questions asked of her. During this encounter, Maxwell instructed Giuffre to massage Epstein's entire body as young Virginia was asked invasive and personal questions by him for the "interview", such as if she was on birth control, about her family, and about her first sexual experience.

Epstein and Maxwell justified the questions asked to Giuffre by framing them as important for gaining a sense of who she was. But in reality, these questions were Ghislaine Maxwell and Jeffrey Epstein's way of getting access to her world and to personal life details to use to their advantage. For many victims of human trafficking and sexual assault, these tactics are not uncommon to encounter, as they allows predators to gain an inside look into their victims' lives.

The first time Virginia was sexually assaulted by Epstein took place on that day, and Virginia recalls how she was blackmailed to continue going to his house to provide massages. In her own words, [Virginia describes](#) how, “The image had been taken from some distance, but it was unmistakably my little brother. I felt a stab of fear. ‘You must never tell a soul what goes on in this house.’ He was smiling, but his threat was clear. ‘And I own the Palm Beach police department,’ he said, ‘so they won’t do anything about it.’ The physical abuse and psychological coercion kept Virginia feeling trapped in a situation of helplessness, which only intensified when Epstein asked her to work for him full-time, handing her a large sum of money. Epstein knew that Virginia was financially disadvantaged and that she cared deeply about her brother's safety, and he used these things to exploit the situation.

Among victims of sexual abuse, many often find themselves in similar circumstances, as they may face economic challenges that make them more vulnerable to being taken advantage of. Additionally, threats made regarding harming Virginia’s family also resemble the experiences of victims at large, as many are pressured to remain silent or follow what is asked of them in exchange for ensuring their loved ones are not harmed.

“The image had been taken from some distance, but it was unmistakably my little brother. I felt a stab of fear. ‘You must never tell a soul what goes on in this house.’ He was smiling, but his threat was clear. ‘And I own the Palm Beach police department,’ he said, ‘so they won’t do anything about it.’

Although Virginia’s story stands out among Epstein’s victims for its significant media coverage and as the first woman to go public, Virginia highlights how her background and experience were typical of the girls Jeffrey Epstein preyed on. [Virginia explains](#) how, “Several of us had been molested or raped as children; many of us were poor or even homeless. We were girls who no one cared about, and Epstein pretended to care. A master manipulator, he threw what looked like a lifeline to girls who were drowning. If they wanted to be dancers, he offered dance lessons. If they aspired to be actors, he said he’d help them get roles. And then, he did his worst to them.”

Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell trafficked Virginia Giuffre, sexually abusing her themselves and allowing other prominent friends to do the same. For years, Virginia was treated as an object to be lent out to their connections, forced to comply with sexual acts. Among the most controversial of these connections was Prince Andrew, who, upon Virginia’s testimony and the release of an undeniable picture with her and Ghislaine Maxwell, was stripped of his title as Duke of York.

Virginia's vulnerability as a minor and lack of financial independence led to Jeffrey and Ghislaine taking advantage of her situation by turning her into a victim of their sex trafficking ring, but also an instrument for garnering more power. She was used as a method to bring young girls in and for gaining intimate knowledge about the powerful people she was instructed by Epstein and Maxwell to have sex with. The abuse continued until she was 19, but the repercussions lasted far longer for Virginia Giuffre.

“Several of us had been molested or raped as children; many of us were poor or even homeless. We were girls who no one cared about, and Epstein pretended to care. A master manipulator, he threw what looked like a lifeline to girls who were drowning. If they wanted to be dancers, he offered dance lessons. If they aspired to be actors, he said he'd help them get roles. And then, he did his worst to them.”

Though Virginia's experience was horrific, it was not until 2009 that she publicly filed a lawsuit against Epstein for human sex trafficking. Over the years, she carried the weight of these traumatic experiences, which caused significant mental distress. Like many victims of sexual abuse, fear about speaking out, being believed, and the pain of remembering the past had kept her from saying anything beforehand. As the first woman to go public about Epstein, Virginia was quickly put on the spotlight, with some even questioning the credibility of her claims.

Virginia's sharing of her story allowed her not only to expose what Epstein had done to her, but also to find the strength to be a voice for others. Although the mental health challenges were long-lasting as a result of the abuse she endured, she started her own organization, S.O.A.R, to advocate for other women and even wrote a memoir.

She was committed to being a force for justice for victims like herself, especially with the handling of the Epstein files and the negligence with which the victims' sensitive information was often treated in contrast to the powerful names associated with Epstein. In her book, Virginia expressed, “I yearn, too, for a world in which perpetrators face more shame than their victims do and where anyone who’s been trafficked can confront their abusers when they are ready, no matter how much time has passed.”

Although Giuffre passed away in June of 2025 by suicide after a difficult battle with mental health, her legacy lives on. Her story shows the extent to which human trafficking bears severe and long-lasting mental health challenges for victims, leading some to even take their own life. It also sheds light on the realities these young women faced through showing who has power, who is taken advantage of, and who remains protected.

“I yearn, too, for a world in which perpetrators face more shame than their victims do and where anyone who’s been trafficked can confront their abusers when they are ready, no matter how much time has passed.”



Annie Farmer and Maria Farmer:

Annie Farmer became one of the over 1,000 girls that Jefferey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell would come to abuse at a young age of just 16. Annie Farmer and her sister, Maria Farmer who was 25, became victims of these powerful individuals as young artist Maria Farmer was offered to work for Epstein as a painter. Through promising opening doors in the world of art and educational support for her sister, Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell crept into the young girls' lives and manipulated unknowing Maria into introducing them to Annie.

Ghislaine Maxwell and Jeffrey Epstein would come to not only sexually assault Annie Farmer and Maria Farmer, but also steal nude pictures of Annie and their younger sister – which were taken for Maria to practice her painting. These experiences brought deep distress for the two sisters, who did not share what had happened to them with anyone until after the matter, even amongst eachother.

When they came forward and reported the incident to authorities in the 90s, no significant action was taken to hold Epstein and Maxwell accountable. On the contrary, Maria faced threats from Ghislaine Maxwell about destroying her art and mentioning how [Maxwell told her](#), “Better be careful and watch your back. I know you go to the West Side Highway all the time. While you’re out there, just be really careful because there are a lot of ways to die there.”

Their experiences stand in conjunction with those of victims of sexual assault and trafficking, as they often face intimidation and threats from their abusers, as well as limited support from authorities in achieving real justice or prosecuting those responsible. In relation to other girls who were harmed by Epstein, Annie Farmer and Maria Farmer were likewise vulnerable young girls who were promised a well-meaning opportunity and were subjected to un-consented touching and sexual assault. Their stories also show how abusers often gain the trust of individuals and ultimately use them to reach more potential victims to harm as well.

The trauma from these experiences deeply affected both of the girls, with Maria Farmer experiencing deep survivor's guilt for what happened to her and Annie. A [report by the New York Times](#) stated the guilt she felt was so profound that Maria "felt sickened by her own paintings, which she realized Mr. Epstein had not appreciated for their artistic value, but for their depiction of nude forms of girls." Annie Farmer likewise struggled in terms of mental health, as the grooming and assault perpetrated against her left her feeling deeply troubled. As a middle aged woman today, she explains how even years after the matter, "It's an emotional rollercoaster for the people involved".

As evidence from the Epstein files continues to be released and more of Ghislaine and Epstein's victims have spoken out, Annie Farmer has become a strong voice behind the issue, pushing for justice for women like herself and for genuine sharing of their stories that doesn't seek to further take advantage of them. In an [interview with BBC](#), she states, "Those victims are people with feelings that are trying to live their everyday lives, and this can feel like a real weight falling on us." By saying this, Annie sheds light on the lasting hurdles that victims continue to face to this day, and to the need for understanding of their experiences as people who are still making sense of everything as well.

Annie Farmer has also spoken out regarding the controversial release of victim's private information and pictures, while the names of powerful people who were involved with Epstein remain redacted. Her frustration is evident as she mentions how, "It's hard to imagine a more egregious way of not protecting victims than having full nude images of them available for the world to download". For women like Annie Farmer, it's important that accountability be taken and that the women who suffered abuse by Jeffrey Epstein and his girlfriend Ghislaine are able to protect their dignity.

“It’s hard to imagine a more egregious way of not protecting victims than having full nude images of them available for the world to download”.

The horrific experiences these women went through not only inflicted deep psychological trauma at the time of the abuse, but have continued to bring distress, as with the handling of the Epstein files and victims’ sensitive information. For Virginia, these challenges contributed to her taking her own life. In the case of Annie Farmer and her sister, Maria Farmer, they continue to live with the struggles of what these experiences have created for them. These women deserve visibility and justice for the physical and psychological harm they have experienced, and their stories, as well as those of all survivors, are important to empower to help bring awareness to the issue and fight for justice. The hope is that, by telling their stories, those who are fully exposed are not victims but the guilty perpetrators who show up in the files.



Bibliography

Fitzpatrick, S., & Schapiro, R. (2025, April 26). Virginia Giuffre, one of Jeffrey Epstein's most prominent abuse survivors, dies by suicide. NBCNews.com. <https://www.nbcnews.com/news/us-news/virginia-giuffre-one-jeffrey-epsteins-prominent-abuse-survivors-dies-s-rcna203027>

Haney, T., & Fadel, L. (2025, August 18). What one of Jeffrey Epstein's victims wants from the Trump administration. NPR. <https://www.npr.org/2025/08/12/nx-s1-5494560/jeffrey-epstein-victim-request-files-released>

Mansfield, E. (2025, December 22). Jeffrey Epstein Survivor "redeemed" by release of her 1996 FBI complaint. USA Today. <https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/politics/2025/12/21/fbi-complaint-epstein-child-pornography-1996/87854678007/>

Nawaz, A., Davenport, A. A., Norris, C., & Nastasia, D. (2025, October 23). Epstein survivor Virginia Giuffre's posthumous memoir exposes abuse by powerful men. PBS. <https://www.pbs.org/newshour/show/epstein-survivor-virginia-giuffres-posthumous-memoir-exposes-abuse-by-powerful-men>

Jesse, M. (2019, November 19). Why These 5 Accusers of Jeffrey Epstein Want More Than Money. The New York Times. <https://www.nytimes.com/2019/11/17/nyregion/jeffrey-epstein-lawsuits-victims.html>

Tawfik, N. (2025, July 22). Accuser says "rollercoaster" of Epstein files saga is a "real weight" on victims. BBC. <https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/cdez8x693wko>

Giuffre, V. (2025, October 15). "prince andrew believed having sex with me was his birthright": Virginia Giuffre on her abuse at the hands of Epstein, Maxwell and the king's brother. The Guardian. <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/oct/15/prince-andrew-virginia-giuffre-abuse-epstein-maxwell>

Baker , M., & Tate, J. (2026, February 1). The Government Published Dozens of Nude Photos in the Epstein Files. The New York Times .
<https://www.nytimes.com/2026/02/01/us/nude-photos-epstein-files.html>

Patrick , A. (2025, October 19). The tragic life of Virginia Giuffre, victim of Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell. The Nightly .
<https://thenightly.com.au/world/the-tragic-life-of-virginia-giuffre-victim-of-jeffrey-epstein-and-ghislaine-maxwell-c-20406682>

Marcelo, P. (2026, February 1). A list of powerful men named in the Epstein files, from Elon Musk to former Prince Andrew. PBS News.
<https://www.pbs.org/newshour/nation/a-list-of-powerful-men-named-in-the-epstein-files-from-elon-musk-to-former-prince-andrew>

Wong, S., Zanona, M., Stewart, K., & Ortiz , E. (2025, September 3). Epstein accusers put pressure on Congress to release the files. NBCNews. <https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/congress/epstein-accusers-put-pressure-congress-release-files-rcna228762>

Popat , S., & Stein, C. (2025, September 3). Epstein abuse survivors urge lawmakers to back bill that would release all files. The Guardian.
<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/sep/03/jeffrey-epstein-files-release-survivors>

Press, A. (2026, February 6). A timeline of the Jeffrey Epstein Investigation and the fight to make the government's files public. PBS.
<https://www.pbs.org/newshour/politics/a-timeline-of-the-jeffrey-epstein-investigation-and-the-fight-to-make-the-governments-files-public>

Jones, C. (2025, October 22). From meeting Epstein to escaping him: What we learned from Virginia Giuffre's "nobody's girl." Rolling Stone.
<https://www.rollingstone.com/culture/culture-features/epstein-accuser-virginia-giuffre-nobodys-girl-memoir-1235450724/>

Press, T. A. (2025, April 26). Virginia Giuffre, who accused Prince Andrew in Epstein sex trafficking scandal, has died. NPR.
<https://www.npr.org/2025/04/26/g-s1-62856/virginia-giuffre-has-died>

Acosta , N., & McNeil, L. (2025, April 25). Virginia Giuffre, Jeffrey Epstein and Prince Andrew Abuse Accuser, Dies by Suicide at Age 41. People.
<https://people.com/virginia-giuffre-jeffrey-epstein-prince-andrew-abuse-accuser-dies-by-suicide-at-age-41-11706343>

Moore, J. (2025, November 22). Epstein survivor Annie Farmer describes victims' "bittersweet" feelings about release of files. CBS News.
<https://www.cbsnews.com/newyork/news/annie-farmer-jeffrey-epstein-survivor-interview/>

Bekiempis, V. (2025, December 21). Sister of Epstein victim reported him in 1996, but FBI failed to investigate, files reveal. The Guardian.
<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/dec/21/epstein-fbi-maria-farmer-annie-farmer>

Baker , M. (2019, August 26). The sisters who first tried to take down Jeffrey Epstein (published 2019). The New York Times .
<https://www.nytimes.com/2019/08/26/us/epstein-farmer-sisters-maxwell.html>

INFOGRAPHIC: SEXUAL ABUSE & HUMAN TRAFFICKING - 54

AWARENESS AND RESOURCES

BY DAMARIS BEAS

SEXUAL ABUSE & HUMAN TRAFFICKING: AWARENESS AND RESOURCES

FACTS:

- Abusers often target more vulnerable individuals such as: **minors, women, and those who are poverty-stricken.**

71%

“

The AAUW reports that “Women and girls account for 71% of trafficking victims globally, and the majority are trafficked for sexual exploitation”.

”

What you can do:

- help support organizations committed to the issue
- support survivors by validating their experiences
- encourage mental health services to help victims of abuse and trafficking



- Women who experience sex trafficking are significantly more likely to suffer from “**anxiety disorders, post-traumatic stress disorders (PTSD), depression, and substance abuse.**” (American Psychological Association)

- “**Force, Fraud, and Coercion**” are the most common tactics used to perpetrate these crimes. (U.S Department of Homeland Security)



RAINN supports survivors of sexual abuse by providing services such as a 24/7 hotline, advocating for legal justice, and promoting education regarding the issue

RESOURCES:

National Human Trafficking Hotline

888-373-7888

operates 24/7 and provides support in English and Spanish

911

If you find yourself in a life-threatening situation, do not hesitate to call 911

RAINN
Leading the National Effort to End Sexual Violence

SOAR
SPEAK OUT • ACT • RECLAIM

SOAR is an organization that was started by Virginia Giuffre, one of Jeffrey Epstein's most well-known survivors. It provides victims of sex trafficking with a space to find healing by telling and being able to “reclaim their stories”.



Works Cited

55

Novotney, A. (2023, April 23). 7 in 10 human trafficking victims are women and girls. What are the psychological effects?. American Psychological Association. <https://www.apa.org/topics/women-girls/trafficking-women-girls>

Human Trafficking: Quick Facts. AAUW. (2022, January). <https://www.aauw.org/app/uploads/2022/08/Human-Trafficking-Quick-Facts-Jan-2022.pdf>

SOAR. (2021). <https://www.speakoutactreclaim.org/about-us>

Human trafficking quick facts | homeland security. U.S Department of Homeland Security . (n.d.). <https://www.dhs.gov/human-trafficking-quick-facts>

RAINN. <https://rainn.org/>

INFOGRAPHIC: Call to Action

BY Luna Santiago

EPSTEIN FILES ACCOUNTABILITY MAKE YOUR VOICE HEARD

— THE POWER AND ACCOUNTABILITY INSTITUTE —

STAY INFORMED



Why it **matters**: Understanding credible information helps prevent misinformation and keeps public attention on accountability. Here's How:

Follow updates from credible investigative journalists and new outlets

Read original documents when available

Cross-check claims before sharing information

CONTACT YOUR REPRESENTATIVES

YOU DO NOT NEED TO BE AN EXPERT.

Call or email your local, state, and federal representatives. Ask them to support transparency in investigations and stronger oversight over investigations. Encourage them to publicly support accountability measures.

“HI, MY NAME IS ____ I AM A CONSTITUENT FROM ____ (ZIP CODE ____). I'M CALLING TO URGE SENATOR/REPRESENTATIVE ____ TO DEMAND ACCOUNTABILITY AND FULL TRANSPARENCY FOR THE EPSTEIN FILES.”

Remember:

- You can make these calls daily.
- Calls should take less than a minute.
- Make your point clear and succinct.
- Add your own words.
- Be respectful to the staffers who pick up!

FIND YOUR REPRESENTATIVE: WWW.CONGRESS.GOV/MEMBERS/FIND-YOUR-MEMBER

BOYCOTT TO DEMAND ANSWERS

SCAN THIS QR CODE TO FOLLOW WIKIPEDIA'S LIST OF PEOPLE NAMED IN THE EPSTEIN FILES:



So far, **NONE** of these people have been accused of any criminal wrongdoing or being aware of Epstein's child sex trafficking.

AND STILL, YOUR VOICE MATTERS.

Use your power as a **consumer and voter** to demand accountability to the figures and businesses associated with Jeffrey Epstein. Boycott the corporations concealing their criminal activity, and encourage others to do the same. Consider emailing, tagging, or responding to their posts to communicate that you will no longer be supporting their business or voting for them until they provide answers.

NO ONE IS ABOVE THE LAW.

MAKE YOUR VOICE HEARD. ADVOCATE FOR JUSTICE.